



































<u>Cause of death</u>	Males	Females
	SINIE	SMR
Circulatory	3.2	2.7
njuries	2.9	3.5
Cancer	1.5	1.6
Endocrine, nutritional and metabolic	7.5	10.1
Respiratory	4.3	3.6
Digestive	5.8	5.1
Genitourinary	4.8	6.0
Nervous system	2.9	1.6
Mental and behavioural disorders	5.8	3.1
nfectious/ parasitic	5.1	5.0
All causes	3.0	2.9

































Proportions activities, by	(%) of people n y Indigenous statu	eeding assistance w us and age, Australia, 20	<b>ith core</b> 06
Age group	Indigenous	Non-Indigenous	Ratio
18-24	2.5	1.3	2.0
25-34	2.8	1.2	2.3
85-44	4.9	1.7	2.8
15-54	8.2	2.7	3.1
55-64	13.2	4.8	2.8
5 or older	20.2	13.4	1.5
All ages	8.4	4.1	2.1









	Ν	Mean	Min	Max	SD
Age	49	71.1	54.0	91.0	7.67
No Chronic Diseases	47	2.4	0.0	7.0	1.41
No Medications	38	3.1	0.0	8.0	2.08
Barthel Index	46	92.2	2.0	100.0	17.92
MMSE	45	23.0	9.0	30.0	5.71
Clock Face Score	42	4.3	0.0	7.0	2.62
Systolic BP	46	133.9	95.0	190.0	19.94
BSL	43	8.2	4.1	19.9	3.65
Weight	40	77.3	48.0	110.0	14.91
Height	40	162.3	147.0	178.0	8.53
BMI	39	29.5	16.6	44.3	6.2

























Comp Functiona Patie	Comparison of Cognitive and Functional Assessment Scores in Two Patient Groups in Far North Queensland					
	MMSE Score	Barthel Index	Cor Coe	relation fficient		
Non Indigenous	23.97	82.07	0.48			
Indigenous	17.69**	83.12#	0.23	*		
·	<sup>*</sup> p<0.05	**p<0.01	<sup>#</sup> p=non s	ignificant		

































## Aboriginal vs. Torres Strait Islanders

- Similar sensitivity/specificity
  - Aboriginals 90%/89%
  - Torres Strait Islanders 100%/88%
- Cut off different
  - Aboriginals <23/25
  - Torres Strait Islanders <21/25
- Small numbers but appears valid screening test for both groups







Comparison of the KICA-Cog validity results of the
Kimberley studies (2003 and 2006), Northern
Territory and Far North Queensland Studies

	Kimberley 2003 n=70	Northern Territory n=47	Kimberley 2006 n=363	Far North Queensland n=55
Alpha- internal consistency	0.87	0.81	0.912	0.76
Cut off score	31/32	31/32	33/34	21/25
Sensitivity, specificity	90.6 % , 92.6 %	82.3%, 87.5%	93.3%, 94.8%.	82.4%, 88.5%
Area under ROC curve	0.95	0.95	0.98	0.94
Discriminant questions	<ul> <li>pension week,</li> <li>recall</li> <li>free recall</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>season question,</li> <li>verbal fluency,</li> <li>free recall</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>pension week,</li> <li>registration,</li> <li>recall,</li> <li>copying xo,</li> <li>free recall</li> </ul>	Free recall
Discriminant questions correctly categorise %	85.7%	90.9%	96.7%	88.4%





























Age (yrs)	Dementia numbers (n)		Dementia prevalence rates		Dementia prevalence ratio
	Sample	Australia	Sample	Australia	1
45–59	5	3600	0.026	0.001	26.0
60–69	12	12300	0.169	0.008	21.1
70–79	11	49700	0.164	0.043	3.8
80+	17	108700	0.567	0.165	3.4
total	45	174300	0.124	0.024	5.2































ge	Diagnosis		
	Normal	CIND	Dementia
65 (n=6)	6	0	0
5-69 (8)	6	2	0
0-74 (3)	1	1	1
5-80 (2)	1	1	0
0+	1	0	0
OTAL	15	4	1



Modical and Lifectule Easters					
MEdic		yle Faciois			
	CIND/Dementia	Normal Cognition			
Sensory Loss	60%	0%			
Vascular risk factors	100%	73%			
Type II DM	40%	27%			
Heart Disease	40%	13%			
Renal Disease	60%	20%			
Head Injury	40%	20%			
Current smoker	40%	27%			
Moderate to Heavy Alcohol Use	40%	7%			
All states and					



























